

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/576,384	06/09/2006	Clive Erskine	06142.0005U1	1866
23859 7590 08/13/2007 NEEDLE & ROSENBERG, P.C. SUITE 1000			EXAMINER	
			MI, QIUWEN	
999 PEACHTREE STREET ATLANTA, GA 30309-3915		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1655	,
				<del></del>
•		,	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
·		•	08/13/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/576,384	ERSKINE, CLIVE	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Qiuwen Mi	1655	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet	vith the correspondence address	
	/ IO OFT TO EVOIDE A	MONTH/OV OR THIRTY (20) RAYO	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUN 36(a). In no event, however, may vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MG, cause the application to become	ICATION. The reply be timely filed properties of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status		•	
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 02 Ju	ılv 2007.	•	
	action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar		tters, prosecution as to the merits is	
closed in accordance with the practice under E			
Disposition of Claims			
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-21</u> is/are pending in the application.		•	
4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-14 is/are withdrawn			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>15-21</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	,		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.		
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc	epted or b) Dobjected t	by the Examiner.	
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abey	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct			
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attach	ed Office Action or form PTO-152.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received		
2. Certified copies of the priority document		Application No.	
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior			
application from the International Bureau	-	•	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies no	ot received.	
		•	
•			•
Attachment(s)			
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)     Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date	
Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P10-948)     Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)		Informal Patent Application	
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>4/19/2006</u> .	6)	<del>.</del>	

### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election with traverse of Group III, claim 15, and species methyl, polar oil, and *Tasmannia stipitata*, in the reply filed on 7/2/07, is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that reference US 5,776,481 does not teach the special technical feature.

The inventions listed as Groups I-III do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: As Grinda et al. disclose a method of extracting a natural insecticidal substance from a plant containing the insecticidal substance which comprises contacting powdered dry parts of the plant with an alkyl or alkenyl ester of a fatty acid, in which the ester moiety contains 1-16 carbons (see claim 1). Grinda et al. also teach that the ester is methyl, ethyl, propyl, isopropyl, butyl, hexyl, and octyl etc (see claim 3). Grinda et al. further teach that the product serves as spray for plants in order to protect them against insect (col 3, lines 5-10). Therefore, there is no special technical feature in the application. Accordingly the groups are not so linked as to form a single general concept under PCT Rule 13.1., and therefore lack of unity of invention exists.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Claims 1-14 are withdrawn from further consideration as being drawn to nonelected inventions.

Art Unit: 1655

## Claims Pending

Claims 16-21 are newly submitted, which are drawn to the elected Group III. Claims 1-21 are pending. Claims 1-14 are withdrawn. Claims 15-21 are examined on the merits.

# Claim Rejections –35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 15, 17, and 18 are rejected under 35 USC § 102 (b) as being anticipated by Grinda et al (US 4,698,222).

Grinda et al. disclose a method of extracting a natural insecticidal substance from a plant containing the insecticidal substance which comprises contacting powdered dry parts of the plant with an alkyl or alkenyl ester of a fatty acid, in which the ester moiety contains 1-16 carbons (see claim 1). Grinda et al. also teach that the ester is methyl, ethyl, propyl, isopropyl, butyl, hexyl, and octyl etc (see claim 3). Grinda et al. further teach that the product serves as spray for plants in order to protect them against insect (col 3, lines 5-10). It is inherent that the fatty acid ester is produced by esterification of an animal or vegetable oil.

Application/Control Number: 10/576,384

Art Unit: 1655

Applicant is requested to note that it is regarded that "intended use" or preamble of a composition or product will not further limit claims drawn to a composition or product. See, e.g., Ex Parte Masham, 2 USPQ2d 1647 (1987) and In Re Hack 114, USPQ 161. A recitation of the intended use of the claimed invention must result in a structural difference between the claimed invention and the prior art in order to patentably distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art. If the prior art structure is capable of performing the intended use, then it meets the claim.

Therefore, the reference is deemed to anticipate the instant claim above.

# Claim Rejections –35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 15-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Grinda et al (US 4,698,222) in view of WO 01/15534.

Grinda et al. disclose a method of extracting a natural insecticidal substance from a plant containing the insecticidal substance which comprises contacting powdered dry parts of the plant with an alkyl or alkenyl ester of a fatty acid, in which the ester moiety contains 1-16 carbons (see claim 1). Grinda et al. also teach that the ester is methyl, ethyl, propyl, isopropyl, butyl, hexyl, and octyl etc (see claim 3). Grinda et al. further teach that the product serves as spray for plants

Application/Control Number: 10/576,384

il control (valued): 10/3/0,3

Art Unit: 1655

in order to protect them against insect (col 3, lines 5-10). It is inherent that the fatty acid ester is produced by esterification of an animal or vegetable oil.

Grinda et al. do not teach a composition further comprising a pesticidally active polar oil, a solvent, or the plant material *Tasmannia stipitata*.

WO 01/15534 discloses an insecticidal composition that includes *Tasmannia stipitata* extract in combination with an insecticidally effective oil such as vegetable oil (polar oil) etc. The *Tasmannia stipitata* extract enhances the insecticidal activity of the oil (see Abstract). WO 01/15534 also teaches that the composition is applied to the insect population by spraying (claim 8), and the extract was dissolved in ethanol (solvent) (page 3, lines 10-15). WO 01/15534 further teaches that the combination of *Tasmannia stipitata* extract and insecticidally effective vegetable oil have been found to have unexpected synergistic activity as insecticides (page 1, lines 25-30).

Therefore, it would have been *prima facie* obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to use the pesticidally active vegetable oil (polar oil), solvent ethanol, and plant material *Tasmannia stipitata* from WO 01/15534 in the invention of Grinda et al since WO 01/15534 teaches that the combination of *Tasmannia stipitata* extract and insecticidally effective vegetable oil have been found to have unexpected synergistic activity as insecticides. Since both of the inventions teach pesticides from plant material individually in the art, and since both of the compositions yielded beneficial results in pest control, one of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to make the modifications.

From the teachings of the references, it is apparent that one of the ordinary skills in the art would have had a reasonable expectation of success in producing the claimed invention.

Applicant is requested to note that it is regarded that "intended use" or preamble of a composition or product will not further limit claims drawn to a composition or product. See, e.g., Ex Parte Masham, 2 USPQ2d 1647 (1987) and In Re Hack 114, USPQ 161. A recitation of the intended use of the claimed invention must result in a structural difference between the claimed invention and the prior art in order to patentably distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art. If the prior art structure is capable of performing the intended use, then it meets the claim.

Thus, the invention as a whole is *prima facie* obvious over the references, especially in the absence of evidence to the contrary.

#### Conclusion

No claim is allowed.

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Qiuwen Mi whose telephone number is 571-272-5984. The examiner can normally be reached on 8 to 5.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Terry McKelvey can be reached on 571-272-0775. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/576,384 Page 7

Art Unit: 1655

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Qiuwen Mi

/Patricia Leith/
Patricia Leith
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1655